

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/748,489	12/30/2003	Timothy C. Loose	47079-00243USPT	8735	
30223 7590 05/23/2008 NIXON PEABODY LLP			EXAMINER		
161 N. CLAR	K STREET	POPHAM, JEFFREY D			
48TH FLOOR CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/748,489	LOOSE, TIMOTHY C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JEFFREY D. POPHAM	2137		

	JEFFREY D. POPHAM	2137						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 TCR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Acion, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o experience, will the statutory period for reply expire dater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. xaminer Note: (1) box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if threely filled, may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liones with 27 CER 44 27 must be a	Eladithin two wonths	a of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise me visues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		- ipinanti i unantantanti (i						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	•						
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The Art of Control of the revidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Jackson requires authentication of all of the software on a media device. However, Jackson contemplates use of less than all software on a device in authentication. This can be seen, for example, in paragraph 57, in that "One embodiment of the invention comprises the use of hash functions to calculate a reference hash value for selected data". Additionally, paragraph 80 teaches that "the sasge authentication codes are determined using the filenames associated with the program files, resulting in fast determination of the unique message authentication codes."

Regarding Burrows, it is first noted that Burrows was used in rejection of N being equal to a positive or negative integer excluding -1, 0, and 1 (claim 1). Jackson and Pease were used in rejection of the rest of the limitations, and are not argued. Burrows clearly teaches N being equal to a positive or negative integer excluding -1, 0, and 1 in the cited portions, and this is not argued either.

Applicant does argue that "Burrows does not deal with authentication (i.e. a proof of origin)". The Examiner is unsure what proof of origin has to do with the authentication of the claims. Claim 1, for example, is concerned with ensuring that data has not been changed, by comparing the result of hashing operations to a predetermined result. Jackson clearly teaches ensuring that data has not been changed by comparing the result of hashing operations to a predetermined result. Burrows furthers this by allowing Jackson's system to only use a subset of the data (such as every 16th or 64th value) in determining the result to be checked, thus increasing the speed of integrity checks in the system, since the combination need not check every bit of data in performing such authentication. It is well-known that checksums are used as a simple form of authentication check to determine whether or not data has been changed.

Applicant also argues that checksums are inherently insecure and one would not look to the teachings of Burrows in the combination. Since Burrows was not cited as disclosing a hash algorithm, ackson clearly clacks or search en shal algorithm (exemple), Burrows teaches explicit use of secure hash algorithms (column 20, lines 49-63, for example), and since checksums are used as simple interority checks within the felicit of authentication data, this around its deemen.